

Lemma (prj):

```
forall
  l ∈ L
it holds that
  prj_l^M : M -> {cn | lev(c) = l}^●.
```

Proof.

Pick l.
Pick m.

We show that
prj_l^M : M -> {cn | lev(c) = l}^●.

By definition of prj_l^M, either
(prj_l^M m) = Nothing, or
(prj_l^M m) = Just cn for some c and n such that
lev(c) = l.

Thus
(prj_l^M m) ∈ {cn | lev(c) = l}^●.

Qed.

Lemma (IProc-Stream).

```
forall
  p ∈ IProc I 0
  s,
if
  p --s-►,
then
  s ∈ Stream I 0.
```

Proof.

Follows from the definition of
IProc I 0,
--s-►, and
Stream I 0.

Qed.

Theorem:

```
forall
  l0 ∈ L
  p0 ∈ IProc M M^●.
it holds that
  SE l0 p0 ∈ NI(=M,=M^●).
```

Proof.

Pick l0 and p0.

Pick s0 such that
SE l p0 --s0-►.

To show:
forall l,
there exists a relation
R
such that
(s0, SE l0 p0) ∈ R
and

R is a λ - $(=M)$ - $(=M^{\bullet})$ -stream-simulation.

Case on λ .

Case not $(\lambda_0 \sqsubseteq \lambda)$:

Pick

$R = \{ \langle s, SE \lambda_0 p \rangle \mid s \in \text{Stream } M \{cn \mid \text{lev}(c) = \lambda_0\}^{\bullet} \}$.

To prove:

$\langle s_0, SE \lambda_0 p_0 \rangle \in R$

Set

$s = s_0$, and
 $p = p_0$.

By Lemma (prj), and by definition of map,
 $p \in \text{IProc } M \{cn \mid \text{lev}(c) = \lambda_0\}^{\bullet}$.

By Lemma (IProc-Stream),

$s \in \text{Stream } M (\{cn \mid \text{lev}(c) = \lambda_0\}^{\bullet})$.

Thus

$\langle s, SE \lambda_0 p \rangle \in R$.

Thus

$\langle s_0, SE \lambda_0 p_0 \rangle \in R$.

To prove:

R is a stream simulation.

We prove that

R satisfies 1), 2) and 3) in Def IV.2.

case 1):

Pick

$\langle ?m.s, SE \lambda_0 p \rangle \in R$.

To show:

$\langle s, SE \lambda_0 p \rangle \in R$.

Since

$\langle ?m.s, SE \lambda_0 p \rangle \in R$,

we get

$?m.s \in \text{Stream } M \{cn \mid \text{lev}(c) = \lambda_0\}^{\bullet}$.

Thus,

$s \in \text{Stream } M \{cn \mid \text{lev}(c) = \lambda_0\}^{\bullet}$.

Thus, by definition of s,

$\langle s, SE \lambda_0 p \rangle \in R$.

thus R satisfies 1) to be a simulation.

case 2):

Pick
 $(s, SE \text{ } l_0 \text{ } p) \in R.$

To show:
forall
 $m = Ml \bullet,$
there exists
 p_M
such that
 $(SE \text{ } l_0 \text{ } p) \rightsquigarrow^m p_M,$ and
 $(s, p_M) \in R.$

Pick
 m
such that
 $m = Ml \bullet.$

Since
 p is interactive,
we get that
 p is input total.

Since
 p is input total,
we get that there is some
 p'
such that
 $p \rightsquigarrow^{(obs_l^M \text{ } m)} p'.$

By definition of SE,
 $(SE \text{ } l_0 \text{ } p) \rightsquigarrow^m (SE \text{ } l_0 \text{ } p').$

Set
 $p_M = (SE \text{ } l_0 \text{ } p').$

Thus, by definition of s and $p_M,$
 $(s, p_M) \in R.$

thus R satisfies 1) to be a simulation.

Case 3):

Pick
 $(?m.s, SE \text{ } l_0 \text{ } p) \in R.$

To show:
forall

$m' =_{Ml} m$,
 there exists
 p_M
 such that
 $(SE \ l0 \ p) \rightsquigarrow_{m'} p_M$, and
 $(s, p_M) \in R$.

Pick
 m'
 such that
 $m' =_{Ml} m$.

Since
 p is interactive,
 we get that
 p is input total.

Since
 p is input total,
 we get that there is some
 p'
 such that
 $p \rightsquigarrow_{(obs_l^M \ m')} p'$.

By definition of SE,
 $(SE \ l0 \ p) \rightsquigarrow_{m'} (SE \ l0 \ p')$.

Set
 $p_M = (SE \ l0 \ p')$.

Since
 $\exists m.s \in \text{Stream } M (\{cn \mid lev(c) = l0\}^{\bullet})$,
 we have that
 $s \in \text{Stream } M (\{cn \mid lev(c) = l0\}^{\bullet})$.

Thus, by definition of s and p_M ,
 $(s, p_M) \in R$.
 thus R satisfies 2) to be a simulation.

Case 4):

Pick
 $(!m.s, (SE \ l0 \ p)) \in R$.

Since
 $\exists m.s \in \text{Stream } M (\{cn \mid lev(c) = l0\}^{\bullet})$, and
 not $(l0 \sqsubseteq l)$,

we get that
 $m =_{Ml} \bullet$.

To show:
 there exists

m' , and
 p_M
 such that
 $m' =_{Ml} m$
 $(SE \ l0 \ p) \xrightarrow{!m'} p_M$, and
 $(s, p_M) \in R$.

Since
 p is interactive,
 we get that
 p is output productive.

Since
 p is output productive,
 we get that there is some
 m_P , and
 p'
 such that
 $p \xrightarrow{!m_P} p'$.

Set
 $m' = \text{prj}_{l0^M} m_P$.

Then
 $(SE \ l0 \ p) \xrightarrow{!m'} (SE \ l0 \ p')$.

Set
 $p_M = (SE \ l0 \ p')$.

Since
 $\text{not } (l0 \sqsubseteq l)$,
 we get by definition of prj_{l0^M} that
 $m' =_{Ml} \bullet$.

By transitivity of $(=_{Ml})$, we get that
 $m' =_{l} m$.

Since
 $!m.s \in \text{Stream } M (\{cn \mid \text{lev}(c) = l0\}^{\bullet})$,
 we have that
 $s \in \text{Stream } M (\{cn \mid \text{lev}(c) = l0\}^{\bullet})$

Thus, by definition of s and p_M ,
 $(s, p_M) \in R$.

thus R satisfies 3) to be a simulation.

thus R is a simulation.

case $(l0 \sqsubseteq l)$.

Pick
 $R = \{ \langle s, SE \ l0 \ p \rangle \mid SE \ l \ p \ \dashrightarrow s0 \}$.

To prove:
 $(s0, SE \ l \ p) \in R$

Set
 $s = s0,$
 $p = p0.$

Then
 $(s, SE \ l0 \ p) \in R.$

Thus,
 $(s0, SE \ l \ p) \in R.$

To prove:
R is a stream simulation.

We prove that
R satisfies 1), through 4) in Def IV.2.

case 1):

Pick
 $(?m.s, (SE \ l0 \ p)) \in R$
such that
 $m =Ml \bullet.$

To show:
 $(s, (SE \ l0 \ p)) \in R.$

By definition of R,
 $(SE \ l0 \ p) \dashrightarrow ?m.s \dashrightarrow \omega.$

By definition of (=Ml), since
 $m =Ml \bullet,$
we get that
 $m = cn$
for some c for which $\text{not}(\text{lev}(c) \sqsubseteq l).$

since
 $l0 \sqsubseteq l,$
we get
 $\text{not}(\text{lev}(c) \sqsubseteq l0).$
Thus,
 $\text{obs_}l0^M m = \text{Nothing}.$

By definition of SE, and since p is interactive (input concrete),
 $(SE \ l0 \ p) \dashrightarrow ?m \dashrightarrow (SE \ l0 \ p).$

Since
 $(SE \ l0 \ p) \dashrightarrow ?m.s \dashrightarrow \omega,$
we get
 $(SE \ l0 \ p) \dashrightarrow ?m \dashrightarrow (SE \ l0 \ p) \dashrightarrow s \dashrightarrow \omega,$

and thus,
 $(SE \ l0 \ p) \dashv\dashv s \dashv\rightarrow w.$

Set
 $p_M = (SE \ l0 \ p).$

Since
 $(s, (SE \ l0 \ p)) \in R,$
we get by definition of p_M that
 $(s, p_M) \in R.$

case 2):

Pick
 $(s, (SE \ l0 \ p)) \in R$

To show:
forall
 $m =_M l \bullet$
there exists
 p_M
such that
 $(SE \ l0 \ p) \dashv\dashv m \dashv\rightarrow p_M,$ and
 $(s, p_M) \in R.$

Pick
 m
such that
 $m =_M l \bullet.$

By definition of $(=_M),$ since
 $m =_M l \bullet,$
we get that either
 $m = \bullet,$ or
 $m = cn$
for some c for which $\text{not}(\text{lev}(c) \sqsubseteq l).$

In the latter case, since
 $l0 \sqsubseteq l,$
we get
 $\text{not}(\text{lev}(c) \sqsubseteq l0).$
Thus, for both cases of $m,$
 $\text{obs}_{l0}^M m = \bullet.$

By definition of $SE,$ and since p is interactive (input concrete),
 $(SE \ l0 \ p) \dashv\dashv m \dashv\rightarrow (SE \ l0 \ p).$

Set
 $p_M = (SE \ l0 \ p).$

Since
 $(s, (SE \ l0 \ p)) \in R,$
we get by definition of p_M that
 $(s, p_M) \in R.$

case 3):

Pick
 $(?m.s, (SE \ l0 \ p)) \in R$

To show:
for all
 $m' =Ml \ m$
there exists
 pM
such that
 $(SE \ l0 \ p) \rightsquigarrow ?m' \rightsquigarrow pM$, and
 $(s, pM) \in R$.

By definition of R,
 $(SE \ l0 \ p) \dashrightarrow ?m.s \dashrightarrow \omega$.
By definition of SE and $\dashrightarrow \omega$ (and (MAP_IN●), (MAP_IN)),
there is some
 p'
for which
 $(SE \ l0 \ p) \rightsquigarrow ?m \rightsquigarrow (SE \ l0 \ p') \dashrightarrow s \dashrightarrow$, and
 $p \rightsquigarrow ?(obs_l0^M \ m) \rightsquigarrow p'$.

Pick
 m'
such that
 $m' =Ml \ m$.

Case on m.

case $m =Ml \ \bullet$:

Since

$$l0 \sqsubseteq l,$$

we get

$$obs_l0^M \ m = \bullet.$$

Since

$$m' =Ml \ m.$$

we get by definition of (=Ml) that either

$$m' = \bullet, \text{ or} \\ m' = cn,$$

for some c for which $\text{not}(lev(c) \sqsubseteq l)$.
In the latter case, since

$$l0 \sqsubseteq l,$$

we get

$$\text{not}(\text{lev}(c) \sqsubseteq l_0).$$

Thus, for both cases of m' ,

$$\text{obs_l0}^M m' = \bullet.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{obs_l0}^M m &= \bullet, \\ \text{obs_l0}^M m' &= \bullet, \text{ and} \\ p \rightsquigarrow^?(\text{obs_l0}^M m) \rightsquigarrow p', \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$p \rightsquigarrow^?(\text{obs_l0}^M m') \rightsquigarrow p'.$$

Thus

$$(SE \ l_0 \ p) \rightsquigarrow^{m'} \rightsquigarrow (SE \ l_0 \ p') \text{ --s--} \blacktriangleright.$$

Set

$$pM = (SE \ l_0 \ p').$$

Then, by definition of s and pM ,

$$(s, pM) \in R.$$

case $\text{not}(m =_M l \ \bullet)$:

Then

$$m = cn,$$

for some c for which $(\text{lev}(c) \sqsubseteq l)$.

By definition of obs_l0^M , since

$$m =_M l \ m',$$

we get

$$m' = m.$$

Thus, since

$$\begin{aligned} p \rightsquigarrow^?(\text{obs_l0}^M m) \rightsquigarrow p', \text{ and} \\ (SE \ l_0 \ p) \rightsquigarrow^{m'} \rightsquigarrow (SE \ l_0 \ p') \text{ --s--} \blacktriangleright, \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} p \rightsquigarrow^?(\text{obs_l0}^M m') \rightsquigarrow p', \text{ and} \\ (SE \ l_0 \ p) \rightsquigarrow^{m'} \rightsquigarrow (SE \ l_0 \ p') \text{ --s--} \blacktriangleright. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$pM = (SE \text{ l} \theta p').$$

Then, by definition of s and pM ,

$$\langle s, pM \rangle \in R.$$

thus R satisfies 2) to be a simulation.

case 4):

Pick

$$\langle !m.s, (SE \text{ l} p) \rangle \in R$$

To show:
there exists

m'

such that

$$\begin{aligned} m' &= M \text{ l} m, \\ (SE \text{ l} p) &\xrightarrow{!m'} pM, \text{ and} \\ \langle s, pM' \rangle &\in R. \end{aligned}$$

By definition of R ,

$$(SE \text{ l} p) \dashrightarrow_{!m.s} \omega.$$

By definition of SE and $\dashrightarrow \omega$ (and (MAP_OUT)),
there is some

p'

for which

$$(SE \text{ l} p) \xrightarrow{!m} (SE \text{ l} p') \dashrightarrow_s.$$

By definition of R ,

$$\langle s, (SE \text{ l} p') \rangle \in R.$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} m' &= m \\ pA' &= (SE \text{ l} p'). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\langle s, pA' \rangle \in R.$$

thus R satisfies 4) to be a simulation.

thus R satisfies 1) to be a simulation.

Thus R is a l - $(=M)$ - $(=M)$ -stream-simulation.

Thus,
for all l ,
there exists a relation

R

such that

$\langle s_0, SE \ l_0 \ p_0 \rangle \in R$

and

R is a l - $(=M)$ - $(=M)$ -stream-simulation.

Qed.